

**BEFORE THE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL,
PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI**

Original Application No. 345 of 2013

Resident Welfare Association Vs. DMRC & Ors.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE U.D. SALVI, JUDICIAL MEMBER
HON'BLE DR. D.K. AGRAWAL, EXPERT MEMBER
HON'BLE DR. B. S. SAJWAN, EXPERT MEMBER
HON'BLE DR. R.C. TRIVEDI, EXPERT MEMBER

Present: **Applicant:** **Mr. Ayush Agrawal, and Mr. Vikrant Singh, Advocates.**
 Respondent No. 1: **Mr. Shiv Kumar, Advocate.**
 Respondent No. 2: **Mr. Balendu Shekhar, Advocate and Mr. Dinesh Jindal, LO.**
 Respondent No. 3: **Ms. Alpna Poddar, Advocate.**

Date and Remarks	Orders of the Tribunal
<p>Item No. 4 January 13, 2014</p>	<p>Service is complete. The Learned Counsel appearing for the Respondent No. 2 i.e. Delhi Pollution Control Committee files Affidavit dated 10th January, 2014 to place on record the Inspection Report pointing out some of the lapses in running of the concrete plant in question.</p> <p>Para 7 (f, h, i, j, k and m) of the Affidavit revealed the lapses in question.</p> <p>The Learned Counsel appearing for Respondent No. 1 i.e. DMRC submits that the concrete mixing plant has been established for construction of Mass Rapid Transport System (MRTS)-General Public utility. However, he fairly admits that no formal consent to establish or operate the said plant has been obtained as such is not the requirement of the Law. He further makes a statement that DMRC has no intention to jeopardize the public interest so far as the environment is concerned and in keeping with the stand the DMRC shall make necessary amends and provide measures for making good the lapses in question within a week from today. He further makes a statement that the plant in question will be shifted to an alternative place and be established in accordance with due provisions of law within two months from today.</p> <p align="center">List this matter on 29th January, 2014.</p>

.....,JM
(U.D. Salvi)

.....,EM
(Dr. D.K. Agrawal)

.....,EM
(B. S. Sajwan)

.....,EM
(Dr. R.C. Trivedi)

